

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

One Liberty Plaza
 New York, NY 10006-1470
 T: +1 212 225 2000
 F: +1 212 225 3999
clearygottlieb.com

WASHINGTON, D.C. • PARIS • BRUSSELS • LONDON • MOSCOW
 FRANKFURT • COLOGNE • ROME • MILAN • HONG KONG
 BEIJING • BUENOS AIRES • SÃO PAULO • ABU DHABI • SEOUL

D: +1 (212) 225-2460
tmoloney@cgsh.com

VICTOR I. LEWKOW
 LEE C. BUCHHEIT
 THOMAS J. MOLONEY
 DAVID G. SABEL
 JONATHAN I. BLACKMAN
 MICHAEL L. RYAN
 ROBERT P. DAVIS
 YARON Z. REICH
 RICHARD S. LINCIER
 STEVEN G. HOROWITZ
 JAMES A. DUNCAN
 STEVEN M. LOEB
 CRAIG B. BROD
 EDWARD J. ROSEN
 NICOLAS GRABAR
 CHRISTOPHER E. AUSTIN
 HOWARD S. ZEBO
 DAVID J. BROSKY
 ARTHUR H. KOHN
 RICHARD J. COOPER
 JEFFREY S. LEWIS
 PAUL J. SHIM
 STEVEN L. WILNER
 ERIKA W. NIJENHUIS
 ANDRES DE LA CRUZ
 DAVID C. LOPEZ
 JAMES L. BROMLEY
 MICHAEL A. GERSTENZANG
 LEWIS J. LIMAN
 LEV L. DASSIN
 NEIL Q. WHORISKEY
 JORGE U. JUANTORENA
 MICHAEL D. WEINBERGER
 DAVID LEINWAND
 DIANA L. WOLLMAN
 JEFFREY A. ROSENTHAL
 ETHAN A. Klagsberg
 MICHAEL D. DAYAN
 CARMINE D. BOCCUZZI, JR.
 JEFFREY D. KARPF

KIMBERLY BROWN BLACKLOW
 ROBERT J. RAYMOND
 SUNG K. KANG
 LEONARD C. JACOBY
 SANDRA L. FLOW
 FRANCISCO L. CESTERO
 FRANCESCA L. ODELL
 WILLIAM L. MCRAE
 JASON FACTOR
 JOON H. KIM
 MARGARET S. PEPPERIS
 LISA M. SCHWEITZER
 JUAN G. GIRALDEZ
 DUANE MCLAUGHLIN
 BREON S. PEACE
 MELINDA E. KOTLER
 CHANTAL KOMPOLA
 BENET J. RILEY
 ADAM E. FLEISHER
 SEAN A. O'NEAL
 GLENN P. MCGROARY
 MATTHEW P. SALERNO
 MICHAEL J. ALBANO
 VICTOR L. HOU
 ROGER A. COOPER
 AMY R. SHAPIRO
 JENNIFER KENNEDY PARK
 ELIZABETH LENAS
 LUKE A. BAREFOOT
 PAMELA L. MARCOGLIESE
 PAUL M. TIGER
 JONATHAN S. KOLODNER
 DANIEL ILAN
 MEYER R. FEDIDA
 ADRIAN R. LEIPSIC
 ELIZABETH VILLENS
 ADAM J. BRENNEMAN
 ARD MACKINNON
 JAMES E. LANGSTON
 JARED GERBER

COLIN D. LLOYD
 COREY M. GOODMAN
 RISHI ZUTSHI
 JANE VANLARE
 DAVID H. HERRINGTON
 KIMBERLY R. SPOERRI
 AARON J. MEYER
 DANIEL C. REYNOLDS
 ABENA A. MAINO
 HUGH C. CONROY, JR.
 RESIDENT PARTNERS
 SANDRA M. ROCKS
 S. DOUGLAS BORISKY
 JUDITH KASSEL
 DAVID D. WEBB
 PERIODIC CHRISTOPHOROU
 BOAZ S. MORAG
 MARIE ALCOCK
 HEIDE H. ILGENFRITZ
 KATHLEEN M. EMBERGER
 WALLACE L. LARSON, JR.
 AVRAM E. LUFT
 ANDREW WEAVER
 HELENA K. GRANNIS
 JOHN V. HARRISON
 CAROLINE F. HAYDAY
 RAHUL MUKHI
 NEIL R. MARKEL
 HUMAYUN KHALID
 KENNETH S. BLAZEJEWSKI
 ANDREA M. BASHAM
 LAURA BAGARELLA
 SHIRLEY M. LO
 RESIDENT COUNSEL
 LOUISE M. PARENT
 OF COUNSEL

July 17, 2018

VIA ECF

The Honorable Alison J. Nathan
 United States District Judge
 for the Southern District of New York
 Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
 40 Foley Square, Room 2102
 New York, NY 10007

Re: SPV OSUS Ltd. v. HSBC Holdings plc, et al., No. 18-3497 (AJN)

Dear Judge Nathan:

We represent the HSBC Defendants¹ in connection with the above-captioned action (this “Action”) and write, on behalf of all Defendants,² in response to SPV’s letter, dated July 16, 2018 (Dkt. No. 56) (the “Letter”), wherein SPV purports to notify the Court and Defendants that, pursuant to Rule 3.F of Your Honor’s Individual Practices in Civil Cases, it intends to file a second amended complaint in this Action. Having already amended as of right, however, SPV must seek either written consent of Defendants or leave of the Court to do so.

¹ The HSBC Defendants include HSBC Holdings plc, HSBC Bank plc, HSBC Bank USA, NA, HSBC USA Inc., HSBC Securities Services (Bermuda) Limited, HSBC Institutional Trust Services (Bermuda) Limited, HSBC Bank Bermuda Limited, HSBC Securities Services (Luxembourg) S.A., HSBC Bank (Cayman) Limited, HSBC Private Banking Holdings (Suisse) S.A., and HSBC Private Bank (Suisse) S.A.

² All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Consolidated Brief (Dkt. No. 46).

The Hon. Alison J. Nathan, p. 2

Defendants respectfully submit that any further amendments would be futile and would unduly prejudice Defendants, and leave to amend should therefore be denied.³

SPV cannot amend as of right under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1). Rule 15(a)(1), like New York Civil Practice Law & Rules § 3025(a), permits amendment as of right only once. *See Fed. R. Civ. P.* 15(a)(1) (“A party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course[.]”); N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 3025(a) (“A party may amend his pleading once without leave of court[.]”). SPV has already amended its complaint. *See Notice of Removal*, Ex. 93 (Dkt. No. 1-93). Those amendments—filed a mere three months ago—exhausted any right to amend SPV may have otherwise had. It matters not, moreover, that SPV amended its complaint before this Action was removed to federal court. SPV’s one chance to amend as of right is exhausted all the same. *See Armstrong v Unc-Lear Siegler, Inc.*, No. 98-CV-736, 1999 WL 123512 (N.D.N.Y. Mar. 1, 1999) (holding amendment in state court exhausted any Rule 15(a)(1) right to amend after removal); *Whitehead v. Viacom*, 233 F. Supp. 2d 715, 719 (D. Md. 2002) (granting defendant’s motion to strike plaintiff’s second amended complaint and rejecting plaintiff’s argument that “he does not need leave of court to file a second amended complaint in this court because the first amended complaint was filed in state, not federal, court”), *aff’d sub nom. Whitehead v. Viacom, Inc.*, 63 F. App’x 175 (4th Cir. 2003); *see also* § 10:22 Amendment of pleading following removal, Copyright Litigation Handbook § 10:22 (2d ed. 2017) (“Where a plaintiff who filed a complaint in state court has already amended the complaint once ‘as of right’ in the state proceeding, the plaintiff may not amend again as of right in federal court[.]”).

Because SPV cannot amend as of right, it must receive either written consent from Defendants (which it has not sought) or leave of Court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). To the extent the Court wishes to construe SPV’s Letter as a request for leave to amend, Defendants respectfully submit that such leave should be denied. *First*, amendment would be futile. Defendants’ motion to dismiss identifies several bases for dismissal that simply cannot be cured through amendment, including that SPV’s complaint is time-barred under New York law and SPV’s theory of causation has already been rejected by the Second Circuit. *See SPV OSUS Ltd. v. UBS AG*, 882 F.3d 333, 345-46 (2d Cir. 2018) (affirming dismissal of substantially similar claims for lack of causation). Where, as here, amendment cannot cure pleading deficiencies, leave to amend must be denied. *See, e.g., Perfect Pearl Co. v. Majestic Pearl & Stone, Inc.*, 889 F. Supp. 2d 453 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).⁴ *Second*, leave to further amend the complaint would substantially and unduly prejudice Defendants. This Action has been pending against Defendants for almost four years. SPV was recently allowed to amend its complaint over three years after it was originally filed. To give SPV a third bite at the apple after the extraordinary time and expense Defendants have already invested in this drawn-out Action would impose on

³ The Court’s order, dated July 6, 2018 (Dkt. No. 55) (the “Order”), merely directs SPV to “notify” the Court and Defendants whether it intends to amend. Order at 1. It does not grant the right to amend. Defendants respectfully submit that the Order does not excuse SPV from complying with the requirements of Rule 15 and seeking leave to re-amend its already-amended complaint.

⁴ That leave to amend should be denied for futility is noted in the Order. *See Order at 1* (citing *Lorefey Fin. (Jersey) No. 3 Ltd. v. Wells Fargo Sec., LLC.*, 797 F.3d 160 (2d Cir. 2015) for the proposition that “denial of leave to amend has long been held proper” on grounds of “futility”).

The Hon. Alison J. Nathan, p. 3

Defendants the substantial and unreasonable hardship of reviewing and responding to a *third* complaint before having had any opportunity to be heard on their *first* dispositive motion.

Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request that the Court deny SPV's constructive request for leave to file another amended complaint and reaffirm the briefing schedule entered on April 25, 2018 (Dkt. No. 16), which still affords SPV over 60 days to respond to Defendants' motion to dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas J. Moloney

Thomas J. Moloney

cc: All counsel of record (via email and ECF)